Overview of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI in Prostate Cancer Diagnosis and Management

OBJECTIVE. This article is a primer on the technical aspects of performing a high-quality dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) examination of the prostate gland.

CONCLUSION. DCE-MRI is emerging as a useful clinical technique as part of a multiparametric approach for evaluating the extent of primary and recurrent prostate cancer. Performing a high-quality DCE-MRI examination requires a good understanding of the technical aspects and limitations of image acquisition and postprocessing techniques.

Prostate cancer is a commonly diagnosed tumor in men that represents a broad spectrum of severity, ranging from indolent to highly lethal [1]. The use of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) serum screening has increased the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Prostate cancers are composed of both indolent and more aggressive cancers. The earlier diagnosis of aggressive cancers may account for a recent reduction in prostate cancer–specific death rates.

One problem with the current standard of care is that elevated PSA values inevitably lead to random prostate biopsies, which, in turn, lead to the discovery of incidental, often inconsequential, tumors [2]. Meanwhile, these same random biopsies may miss significant disease. Thus, MRI may play a role in conjunction with PSA for localizing biopsy sites and identifying those tumors more likely to cause death if left untreated.

The current MRI evaluation consists of one or more anatomic and functional techniques, such as T2-weighted MRI, diffusion-weighted MRI (DWI), MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI), and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI). Therefore, the current standard is to perform a multiparametric prostate MRI examination, recognizing that no single MRI sequence is sufficient to characterize prostate cancer. Each of the functional MR components has clinical advantages and limitations, and the optimal combination of anatomic and functional MR sequences still needs to be established.

However, DCE-MRI has become an important component of the multiparametric strategy and is emerging as a useful clinical technique for evaluating the severity, location, and extent of primary and recurrent prostate cancer. This technique differs from other functional MRI techniques in that it uses an exogenous contrast agent (low-molecular-weight gadolinium chelate) to assess tumor angiogenesis. The purpose of this article is to provide a detailed summary of efforts to date in prostate DCE-MRI as well as to present a guide for performing DCE-MRI in patients with known or suspected prostate cancer.

Prostate Cancer Angiogenesis

Like many tumors in other organs, prostate cancer shows earlier and more pronounced enhancement than surrounding normal prostate tissue on DCE-MRI [3]. This enhancement pattern is thought to be related to tumor angiogenesis. More aggressive tumors have the ability to initiate an angiogenic “switch” that upregulates molecular pathways, leading to the production and release of angiogenic factors, such as the vascular permeability factor or vascular endothelial growth factor. As a result, the number of vessels increases, and these newly formed tumor vessels have higher permeability than do normal vessels because of weak integrity of the vessel wall [4–6]. In general, tumor vessels are more permeable than normal vessels, more heterogeneous in size, and more disorganized. Studies suggest that the prognosis worsens as the...
number of abnormal vessels in prostate cancer increases [7, 8]. For instance, it has been shown that microvessel density, which is established by immunohistochemistry on pathologic specimens, is an independent predictor of pathologic stage and often correlates with DCE-MRI results [9]. These histologic observations have prompted an interest in DCE-MRI for the noninvasive assessment of prostate cancer.

Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI: Principles and Technique

The term “dynamic” is derived from the multiple serial images that are collected after injection of contrast media. The clinical application of DCE-MRI for prostate cancer is based on data showing that malignant lesions show earlier and faster enhancement and earlier contrast agent washout compared with healthy prostate tissues [10, 11] (Fig. 1). This requires fast bolus administration of contrast media combined with rapid acquisition methods.

DCE-MRI requires the use of serial 3D acquisitions before, during, and after a bolus of low-molecular-weight gadolinium contrast media, typically via the antecubital vein, using an injection rate of 2–4 mL/s followed by a 20-mL saline flush. IV-injected contrast agents pass from the arteries to the tissue microvasculature and extravasate within seconds.
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### Table 1: Summary of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>TR/TE (ms)</th>
<th>Flip Angle (°)</th>
<th>FOV (cm)</th>
<th>Acquisition Matrix Resolution (mm)</th>
<th>Reconstructed Matrix Resolution (mm)</th>
<th>No. of Slices</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution (s)</th>
<th>No. of Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GE Healthcare (3 T)</td>
<td>4.5/1.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>256/0.93</td>
<td>512/0.46</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.8¹</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE Healthcare (1.5 T)</td>
<td>4.5/1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>160/1.375</td>
<td>256/0.86</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips Healthcare (3 T)</td>
<td>3.65/2.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>188 × 96/1.4 × 2.7</td>
<td>256/1.02</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siemens Healthcare (1.5 T)</td>
<td>4.5/1.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>128/1.4</td>
<td>256/0.7²</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note—Examinations performed using an endorectal coil. Optimal slice thickness is 3 mm for diagnostic imaging at both 1.5 T and 3 T with maximal thickness of 4 mm. Dynamic contrast-enhanced acquisition should be continued for at least 5 minutes to detect optimal washout.

¹Keyhole approach used during image acquisition.
²Keyhole technique used during postprocessing with true 256 × 256 resolution. Mask dataset acquired before contrast injection.

### Image Acquisition

Dynamic contrast-enhancement techniques typically use 3D T1-weighted fast spoiled gradient-echo MRI sequences to repeatedly image a volume of interest after the administration of a bolus of IV contrast agent. T1-weighted spoiled gradient-echo sequences provide high sensitivity to T1 changes, high signal-to-noise ratios, and rapid data acquisition. Typically, 3D image sets are obtained sequentially every few seconds for up to 5–10 minutes. Ideally, the acquisitions should be obtained approximately every 5 seconds to allow the detection of early enhancement; however, many centers use acquisition times up to 10 seconds with good results. Longer acquisitions (e.g., > 15 seconds) are not recommended because it becomes harder to identify early enhancement. The rapidity with which MRI must be acquired necessitates that larger voxels (i.e., lower matrix sizes) must be obtained to maintain adequate signal-to-noise ratios. Thus, DCE-MRI is sensitive to alterations in vascular permeability, extracellular space, and blood flow.
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Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI and Analysis Methods

The analysis of DCE-MRI can be considered in the framework of complexity versus standardization. The most readily accessible analytic method, but also the least standardized, is the qualitative approach. The most complex, but perhaps the most generalizable, method is the quantitative approach. In between is the semiquantitative or “curveology” approach. Next, we will consider each approach.

Qualitative—the qualitative, or visual, analysis of DCE-MRI and its use for prostate imaging is based on the general assumption that tumor vessels are leaky and more readily enhance after IV contrast material is expressed by a fast exchange of blood and contrast media between capillaries and tumor tissues [7]. Thus, DCE-MRI patterns for malignant tumors are expected to show early rapid enhancement after injection followed by a relatively rapid decline compared with a slower and continuously increasing signal for normal tissues during the first few minutes after contrast injection. Using early (arterial phase) enhancement and morphologic criteria, higher accuracy and less interobserver variability have been reported for DCE-MRI than for T2-weighted MRI. However, there is overlap of malignant and benign tissues, which also varies in different prostate zones, limiting the capabilities of the qualitative DCE-MRI approach. Moreover, the qualitative approach is inherently subjective and therefore difficult to standardize among institutions, making multicenter trials less reliable.

Semiquantitative—the semiquantitative approach is also based on the assumption of early and intense enhancement and washout as a predictor of malignancy. Unlike the visual approach, the semiquantitative analysis calculates various curve parameters, sometimes collectively referred to as “curveology.” Parameters are obtained to characterize the shape of the time-intensity curve, such as the time of first contrast uptake, time to peak, maximum slope, peak enhancement, and wash-in and washout curve shapes. In prostate cancer, there is early intense enhancement and rapid washout of contrast material [3, 13–20] (Fig. 1A).

There are three common dynamic curve types after initial uptake: type 1, persistent increase; type 2, plateau; and type 3, decline after initial upslope. Type 3 is considered the most suspicious for prostate cancer, especially if there is a focal asymmetric enhancing lesion; however, type 1 and 2 curves can be found in prostate cancer as well (Fig. 1B). Although the semiquantitative approach is widely used in the assessment of DCE-MRI, it has limitations in terms of generalization across acquisition protocols, sequences, and all other factors contributing to the MR signal intensity, which in turn affect curve metrics, such as maximum enhancement and washout percentage.
Differences in temporal resolution and injection rates can easily change the shape of wash-in/washout curves, making comparison and quantitation difficult. Moreover, these descriptive parameters provide no physiologic insight into the behavior of the tumor vessels. High interpatient variability also hampers the ability to define threshold values for each parameter that could standardize this approach. Nevertheless, the relative simplicity of this approach is appealing, and it has been applied successfully [15]. Thus, relatively simple descriptive parameters are useful in differentiating malignant from normal and pathologic but benign prostatic tissue [3, 21, 22].

Quantitative—During the past decade, the quantitative analysis of DCE-MRI has gained increasing application in prostate imaging because of more widely available software methods and a growing consensus on this approach. The quantitative approach is based on modeling the concentration change of the contrast agent using pharmacokinetic modeling techniques. After the work of Kety [23], which described the flow-limited tracer uptake in tissue, several pharmacokinetic models were proposed by Tofts et al. [24], Brix et al. [25], and Larsson et al. [26]. Most of these models are based on determining the rate of contrast exchange between plasma and extracellular space using transfer rate constants, such as $K_{\text{trans}}$ (forward volume transfer constant) and $k_{\text{ep}}$ (reverse reflux rate constant between extracellular space and plasma). These constants are known to be elevated in many cancers [27, 28]. The transfer constant, $K_{\text{trans}}$, is equal to the permeability surface area product per unit volume of tissue. $K_{\text{trans}}$ determines the flux from the intravascular space to the extracellular space; it may predominantly represent the vascular permeability in a permeability-limited situation (high flow relative to permeability), or it may represent the blood flow into the tissue in a flow-limited situation (high permeability relative to flow) [29]. The $v_e$ is the extracellular extravascular volume fraction, and $k_{\text{ep}} = K_{\text{trans}} / v_e$ expresses the rate constant, describing the efflux of contrast media from the extracellular space back to plasma. The $v_e$ is the fraction of plasma per unit volume of tissue.

The initial model, described by Tofts et al. [24], developed for blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability measurements ignored the contribution of the plasma to total tissue concentration, which is acceptable for normal brain tissues with an intact BBB. However, as the model gained popularity in tumor applications throughout the body, the vascular contribution to signal intensity was included as an extension to this model. In quantitative DCE-MRI analysis, “tissue” is modeled as four compartments: plasma, extracellular space, intracellular space, and kidney excretory pathway. The intracellular space is disregarded in many models because it is assumed that there is no contrast media exchange with the intracellular space, although others have pointed out that water itself can exchange between the cell and the extracellular space, thereby influencing signal changes in the extracellular space. The pharmacokinetic model (Fig. 1C) is applied to the time-dependent concentration changes of the contrast agent in the artery supplying the tissue of interest, which is the arterial input function (AIF), and the tissue contrast agent concentration [29]. As noted, commercial software packages are now available to input DCE-MRI data and produce parametric maps, such as $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $k_{\text{ep}}$ maps, that can be used for diagnostic purposes.
It is important to remember that signal intensity must be converted to T1 values on the basis of a T1 map because MRI signal intensity is not linear with the gadolinium concentration and the pharmacokinetic models require concentration values. Thus, T1 maps are used to generate the concentration curves of the contrast agent. Moreover, some models also use AIF, which is individualized to each patient or pooled on the basis of populations of patients. Fortunately, a number of software programs can now produce parametric color maps using these model-based parameters and can be overlaid on T2-weighted images. These maps can be computed after correcting for signal intensity and motion (Fig. 2).

Even though a more complex approach than semiquantitative methods, quantitative modeling has the potential for standardization across various sequences and parameters. DCE-MRI–driven physiologic parameters, such as $K_p$ and $k_{ep}$, are elevated in prostate cancer and have been used to detect malignancy in the prostate, often in a multiparametric approach in combination with other sequences: T2-weighted MRI, DWI, and MRSI [30–32]. Obtaining stable measurements from quantitative methods remains a challenge because of the number of variables that can affect it, including changes in cardiac output, challenges in measuring tissue T1, and problems with measurement of a tissue AIF. In addition, angiogenesis is not a constant feature of all tumors, especially small ones, and not all angiogenesis is due to cancer but can also be caused by inflammatory conditions. Because of considerable overlap of the rate constants for benign and cancerous tissue, DCE-MRI, whether qualitative or quantitative, must always be read in conjunction with T2-weighted images and DWI or MRSI to obtain better diagnostic performance [33–38]. Given the complexity and limitations of a fully quantitative acquisition and analysis, visual and semiquantitative methods are often substituted when quantitation is less important.

**Image Interpretation**

Consensus guidelines are being developed internationally for the interpretation of pros-
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Contrast-enhanced MRI [39]; however, there is general agreement on the major components of multiparametric MRI. The peripheral zone is typically interpreted distinctly from the central gland. T2-weighted imaging is the oldest and most studied of the MR sequences. Prostate cancer is characterized by low T2 signal intensity replacing the normally high T2 signal intensity in the peripheral zone (Fig. 2A). However, focal decreases in T2 signal intensity can be caused by benign processes, and many cancers show minimally reduced T2 signal, making them nearly isointense on T2-weighted images. DWI has become a more important ancillary sequence in prostate MRI because of improvements in the ability to suppress susceptibility artifacts. Tumors show reduced diffusion, resulting in higher signal on images with a high b value and reduced signal on apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps. ADC values correlate with the Gleason score of prostate cancers [40–42]. DCE-MRI is commonly used in conjunction with at least two other MR sequences. For instance, regions of the prostate that are low in T2 signal but that also show rapid wash-in and washout or high $K_{trans}$ or $k_{ep}$ are more suspicious for cancer. Thus, these sequences are used together and are highly dependent on each other.

Prostate cancer arising in the central gland (transition zone, central zone, and anterior fibromuscular stroma) are more difficult to diagnose because of the heterogeneity of signal intensity arising from benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). On T2-weighted images, the diagnosis of a central gland tumor [43] is supported by findings of homogeneous low T2 signal intensity, ill-defined irregular edges of the suspicious lesion, invasion into the urethra or the anterior fibromuscular stroma, lack of the low-signal-intensity rim commonly seen in association with BPH, and lenticular shape [44] (Fig. 3).

However, these findings can be misleading because low signal intensity can also be seen in the stromal type of BPH. Thus, in many studies, the performance of MRI for the localization of central gland tumors is either not specifically reported or lower than for peripheral gland tumors [45]. A growing number of MRI studies have shown that the detection

Fig. 7—Local tumor recurrence after brachytherapy in 67-year-old man with Gleason score of 7 (3 + 4) in left midgland who underwent transperineal radioactive $^{125}$I seed implantation 8 years ago. Patient had slowly rising prostate-specific antigen level over several years, with level of 2.9 ng/dL at time of multiparametric MRI.
A, Axial T2-weighted image shows featureless prostate gland with $^{125}$I seeds and no definite tumor. Diffusion-weighted image showed no signal restriction within prostate gland.
B, Contrast-enhanced images are overlaid on T2-weighted MRI. Wash-in and washout color map shows marked enhancement in left midgland peripheral zone (cross-hair).
C, Plot of relative contrast enhancement in regions of interest over time shows earlier onset time, shorter time to peak, high peak enhancement, and early washout of contrast material in left midgland peripheral zone lesion (5.8 s/timepoint).
D, MR spectroscopy image overlying axial T2-weighted image (purple voxel) in left midgland shows metabolites at 3.2 ppm, corresponding to high (cho) peak and atrophy in remainder of gland.
E, Axial T2-weighted image shows asymmetric low-signal-intensity enlargement of seminal vesicle on left (arrow).
F and G, Axial raw dynamic contrast-enhanced MR image (F) and color map (G) show early intense enhancement in left seminal vesicle lesion (cross-hair).
H, Apparent diffusion coefficient map derived from diffusion-weighted image shows focal signal restriction in seminal vesicle (arrow). This feature is diagnostic of tumor recurrence.
and characterization of prostate cancer can be significantly improved by performing the imaging examination on higher magnetic field strength MR scanners (3 T) and using either DWI or MRSI with DCE-MRI [34–37, 46]. In a multiparametric MRI examination, the high sensitivity of DCE-MRI may be used to select lesions for biopsy. Thus, DCE-MRI is interpreted both independently and together with other sequences (Fig. 4).

**Clinical Experience With Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI**

**Diagnosis and Local Staging**

The performance of DCE-MRI is usually reported for tumors of significant volume (> 0.5 mL) and Gleason grade (≥ 6) [47]. DCE-MRI alone has reported sensitivity and specificity ranges of 46–96% and 74–96%, respectively, for detection of tumors, but, as always, these ranges are highly dependent on patient selection, technique and diagnostic criteria at MRI, pathologic correlation method (biopsy vs whole mount), and tumor size [48–55].

A study of DCE-MRI and combined DCE-MRI-MRSI in 150 patients with a negative prior transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy showed that DCE-MRI had sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 76.5%, 89.5%, 84.5%, and 83.7%, respectively, and these numbers improved with the combined use of DCE-MRI and MRSI [46]. Others have shown a high correlation of DCE-MRI findings in prostate cancer with whole-mount histopathology [48, 51, 55]. Thus, DCE-MRI contributes valuable information to prostate MRI.

In the last decade, the multiparametric approach has been shown to improve the accuracy of prostate MRI. Thus, DCE-MRI must always be viewed in the context of other MRI parameters. For instance, one study showed that combining T2-weighted MRI with DWI and DCE-MRI led to sensitivity of 83%, whereas the sensitivity of DCE-MRI alone was only 43% [36]. Recently, Turkbey et al. [37] reported that a four-sequence multiparametric approach (T2-weighted, DWI, DCE-MRI, and MRSI) had sensitivity of 86% and specificity of nearly 100% in a prospective trial of 45 patients. Multiparametric MRI has been more successful in the peripheral zone than the central gland [35]. A recent study reported that combined use of ADC maps and $K_{\text{trans}}$ values extracted from DCE-MRI improved tumor detection in the central gland [38]. As experience grows and technology improves, the multiparametric MRI approach has also improved and very acceptable detection rates can now be obtained from both the peripheral zone and central gland.

**Detection of Tumor Recurrence:**

**PSA Relapse After Treatment**

Patients are followed by serum PSA and digital rectal examination after treatment of prostate cancer. Currently, clinical nomograms are used to predict the risk of biochemical recurrence; however, these nomograms have some limitations related to their variables, such as the low specificity of serum PSA and underestimated Gleason score at biopsy.
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DCE-MRI has shown the ability to detect cancer recurrence when PSA begins to rise after a nadir in patients who have undergone radical prostatectomy (Fig. 5). For instance, biochemical relapse after radical prostatectomy can occur in 15–30% of patients [56–59]. Detection of tumor recurrence after radical treatment can be difficult because the lack of normal landmarks and the presence of scar tissue can lead to uncertainty. Determining the site of recurrence is important because patients with isolated local recurrence can benefit from further treatments, such as radiation to the prostatectomy resection bed.

Panebianco et al. [60] evaluated 84 patients with suspected local recurrence after prostatectomy using conventional MRI with MR spectroscopy and DCE-MRI as well as 18F-choline PET/CT and concluded that accuracy was greater for multiparametric MRI than for PET/CT (area under the curve of MRI and PET/CT, 0.971 and 0.837, respectively).

Biochemical recurrence can occur in 20–40% of patients who undergo external-beam radiation therapy (EBRT) [61]. Detecting recurrence after radiation therapy can be clinically challenging because the PSA level may not be a reliable marker, and the digital rectal examination can be nonspecific due to fibrotic changes in the irradiated prostate gland. Multiparametric MRI, specifically DCE-MRI and MRSI, have shown the ability to identify tumor recurrence (Figs. 6 and 7) with high accuracy in postradiotherapy patients [62–64].

Naturally, the most likely site for residual disease after radiation therapy is the site of the original primary tumor [65], supporting the practice of boosting the radiation dose to the primary tumor. For prediction of local tumor progression of prostate cancer after high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation, DCE-MRI was more sensitive than T2-weighted MRI with DWI [66]. These results suggest that patients who have a rising PSA level after therapy may benefit from an MRI examination to detect recurrent or residual disease.

Limitation and Pitfalls

Despite its advantages, there are a number of limitations to DCE-MRI. A major source of error in DCE-MRI is motion. Motion arises from rectal peristalsis and bladder filling as well as bulk patient movement. Because the DCE-MRI study extends over 5 or more minutes, significant misregistration between consecutive slices can occur. This can lead to noise in the wash-in and washout curves, leading to difficulty in fitting the curve with pharmacokinetic models. For instance, marked anterior prostatic displacements due to rectal motion can occur during DCE-MRI examinations and can lead to noisy curves [3, 67]. Commercially available DCE-MRI postprocessing software has been introduced to correct for motion by automat-

Fig. 9—Anterior hypovascular central gland carcinoma in 68-year-old man with prostate-specific antigen level of 11 ng/mL. One set of 12 transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided prostate biopsy results was negative. Images show focal right nonspecific hypointense signal (asterisk, A) with no significant restriction of diffusion (asterisk, C and D), and no washout on color-coded quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) map (asterisk, F and G). Four of four positive anterior biopsies directed within anterior hypointense signal yielded prostate adenocarcinoma, Gleason score of 6, cancer length of 24 mm, negative biopsies in right peripheral zone and in 12 TRUS-guided prostate biopsies.

A and B, Axial (A) and sagittal (B) T2-weighted MR images show anterior apical homogeneous ill-defined lenticular hypointense signal (arrows), suggesting central gland tumor.

C and D, Low apparent diffusion coefficient value (C) and bright signal intensity at b1000 diffusion-weighted (D) images suggest malignancy (arrows).

E and F, Unenhanced (E) and qualitative DCE (F) MR images show no significant enhancement in anterior focal area (arrows, F).

G and H, Low-color-coded kep (reverse reflux rate constant) value (G) and type 1 semiquantitative DCE curve (8.5 s/timepoint) (H) suggest benign tissue.
performed with markedly different acquisition times. Moreover, the use of AIFs, individualized or pooled, is another source of variability among studies. Because there has been an increase in the availability of commercially available postprocessing tools, it is important to pay attention to how these available tools process the DCE-MRI data to generate parametric maps. Differences in postprocessing algorithms can also account for observed differences in the metrics of tumor vascularity. To enable further investigation of the value of DCE-MRI of the prostate, the technique of DCE-MRI and the pharmacokinetic model used to analyze it must become more standardized.

### Future Directions

The role of DCE-MRI in prostate cancer detection is not in dispute. Looking forward, a major area of development is international standards so that the technique can be tested in multicenter trials. Because of its complexity, DCE-MRI may also benefit from automated decision-support systems that suggest to the radiologist areas of abnormality on MRI. These have been successfully applied in screening mammography and breast MRI. They may prove even more useful in the prostate, where the complexity of image interpretation poses even more burdens on the generalist. Also anticipated are further improvements in technology that will enable faster 3D acquisitions with built-in motion compensation to improve the quality of the curves generated from DCE-MRI.

### Conclusion

DCE-MRI techniques are becoming more widely available in clinical practice. The analysis of this dynamic data can be performed with simple visual or semiquantitative approaches or more complex nonstandardized quantitative approaches using pharmacokinetic models. DCE-MRI and the corresponding pharmacokinetic models and parameters have shown great potential for improving the diagnosis of prostate cancer by adding functional information to the anatomic information provided by conventional MRI sequences. Semiquantitative methods may be sufficient for the principal clinical needs of prostate cancer localization and staging. Further clinical validation is necessary to justify the need for a fully quantitative approach to DCE-MRI in prostate cancer localization and staging in current clinical practice.

Initial clinical applications of DCE-MRI, including the detection, localization, and staging of prostate cancer as well as the diagnosis of recurrence, show that the technique offers diagnostic benefits when compared with conventional MRI alone and as part of a multiparametric prostate MRI examination. For the radiologist embarking on the use of these techniques, it is essential to develop an understanding of the need for rapid acquisition, overlap with other diseases (prostatitis, BPH), and familiarity with a variety of analytic methods. Understanding the relative advantages, limitations, and potential pitfalls will improve the interpretation of DCE-MRI results.
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