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OBJECTIVE. In this article, we present the basic principles of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) that can aid radiologists in the qualitative and quantitative interpretation of DW images. However, a detailed discussion of the physics of DWI is beyond the scope of this article. A short discussion ensues on the technical aspects of performing DWI in the body. The emerging applications of DWI for tumor detection, tumor characterization, distinguishing tumor tissue from nontumor tissue, and monitoring and predicting treatment response are highlighted. The challenges to widespread adoption of the technique for cancer imaging in the body are discussed.

CONCLUSION. DWI derives its image contrast from differences in the motion of water molecules between tissues. Such imaging can be performed quickly without the need for the administration of exogenous contrast medium. The technique yields qualitative and quantitative information that reflects changes at a cellular level and provides unique insights about tumor cellularity and the integrity of cell membranes. Recent advances enable the technique to be widely applied for tumor evaluation in the abdomen and pelvis and have led to the development of whole-body DWI.

or two decades, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) has been applied to the evaluation of intracranial diseases, such as cerebrovascular accidents, trauma, epilepsy, depression, dementia, and neurotoxicity. DWI has been shown to be capable of detecting early or subtle changes within the brain before any visible abnormality can be seen on conventional morphologic imaging [1, 2].

In the 1990s, a series of technologic advances made it possible to translate DWI measurements to extracranial sites, including the abdomen and pelvis. The developments of echo-planar imaging (EPI), high-gradient amplitudes, multichannel coils, and parallel imaging have been instrumental in extending the applications of DWI. In particular, the introduction of parallel imaging, which enabled reduction in the TE, the echo-train length, and the k-space filling time, led to substantially less motion artifact at image acquisition, thus enabling high-quality DW images of the body to be obtained.

DWI is increasingly used for the evaluation of extracranial diseases. There is growing interest in the application of DWI for the evaluation of the patient with cancer. DWI measurements are quick to perform (typically 1–5 minutes) and do not require the administration of exogenous contrast medium. Thus, these imaging sequences can be appended to existing imaging protocols without a significant increase in the examination time. Furthermore, DWI yields both qualitative and quantitative information that can be helpful for tumor assessment.

Principles and Concepts

In the following section, we summarize the key concepts of DWI, which may aid radiologists in the interpretation of DW images. However, an in-depth discussion of the physics and mathematics of DWI is beyond the scope of this review. The interested reader may refer to articles related to that subject [3, 4].

Diffusion of Water Molecules in Tissue

DWI explores the random motion of water molecules in the body. Water molecules held in a container outside the body are in constant random brownian motion. This uninhibited motion of water molecules is free diffusion. By contrast, the movement of water molecules in biologic tissues is restricted because their motion is modified and limited by interactions with cell membranes and macromolecules.
In biologic tissue, the DWI signal is derived from the motion of water molecules in the extracellular space, the intracellular space, and the intravascular space [4] (Fig. 1). Not surprisingly, given a unit time, water molecules in the intravascular space will have a greater diffusion distance because of blood flow than those in the extracellular and intracellular spaces. Clearly, the contribution of intravascular water diffusion to the measured DWI signal can vary among tissues. In tumors showing increased vascularity, the contribution of intravascular water diffusion to the MR signal may account for a significant proportion [5].

The degree of restriction to water diffusion in biologic tissue is inversely correlated to the tissue cellularity and the integrity of cell membranes [6–9]. The motion of water molecules is more restricted in tissues with a high cellular density associated with numerous intact cell membranes (e.g., tumor tissue). The lipophilic cell membranes act as barriers to motion of water molecules in both the extracellular and intracellular spaces. By contrast, in areas of low cellularity or where the cellular membrane has been breached, the motion of water molecules is less restricted. A less cellular environment provides a larger extracellular space for diffusion of water molecules, and these molecules may also freely transgress defective cell membranes to move from the extracellular into the intracellular compartment (Fig. 1).

**Measuring Water Motion (Apparent Diffusion) Using DWI**

Stejskal and Tanner [10] described an MR experiment that could be applied to the detection and quantification of water diffusion in vivo. They adapted a standard T2-weighted spin-echo sequence by applying a symmetric pair of diffusion-sensitizing (bipolar) gradients around the 180° refocusing pulse. That approach is now the basis of many DWI sequences in clinical use today. Static molecules acquire phase information from the first diffusion gradient, but information will be rephased by the second diffusion gradient without a significant change in the measured signal intensity. By comparison, moving water molecules acquire different phase information from the first gradient, but because of their motion, their signal will not be completely rephased by the second gradient, thus leading to a signal loss (Fig. 2). Hence, the motion of water molecules is detected as attenuation of the measured signal intensity at DWI. The degree of water motion has been found to be proportional to the degree of signal attenuation.

The sensitivity of the DWI sequence to water motion can be varied by changing the gradient amplitude, the duration of the applied gradient, and the time interval between the paired gradients. On clinical MR scanners, the diffusion sensitivity is easily varied by changing the parameter known as the “b value,” which is proportional to these three factors. When the b value is changed, it is usually the gradient amplitude, rather than the duration or time intervals between gradients, that is altered.
Water molecules with a large degree of motion or a great diffusion distance (e.g., within the intravascular space) will show signal attenuation with small b values (e.g., b = 50–100 s/mm²). By contrast, large b values (e.g., b = 1,000 s/mm²) are usually required to perceive slow-moving water molecules or small diffusion distances because these show more gradual signal attenuation with increasing b values.

The root mean square displacement of water molecules that occurs during a diffusion measurement is estimated to be approximately 8 μm [11]. By comparison, the mean size of cells in the human body measures about 10 μm. Hence, the information provided by DWI reflects changes that are occurring at a cellular spatial scale. For this reason, DWI is perceived as an advantageous tool for evaluating changes in the tumor microenvironment, both before and after treatment.

Interpretation of DWI

Qualitative Assessment of DWI

DWI is typically performed using at least two b values (e.g., b = 0 s/mm² and other b values from 0 to 1,000 s/mm²) to enable meaningful interpretation. Generally, the larger the b value, the greater the degree of signal attenuation from water molecules. By observing the relative attenuation of signal intensity on images obtained at different b values, tissue characterization based on differences in water diffusion becomes possible. For example, in a heterogeneous tumor, the more cystic or necrotic fraction of the tumor will show greater signal attenuation on high b-value images because water diffusion is less restricted. By contrast, the more cellular solid tumor areas will continue to show relatively high signal intensity (Fig. 3). Visual assessment of the relative tissue signal attenuation at DWI is being applied for tumor detection, tumor characterization, and the evaluation of treatment response in patients with cancer.

When diffusion measurements are being performed, the direction of water diffusion along the three orthogonal directions of the magnet (phase select, frequency select, and slice select) can be assessed independently by applying diffusion gradients in each of these directions. DW images that are the sum of the directionally acquired DW images are known as trace or index DW images.

Another phenomenon that can be encountered is that of diffusion anisotropy. Diffusion anisotropy refers to unequal directional diffusion, which occurs as a result of tissue or structural organization. A good example of diffusion anisotropy is seen along the white matter tracts of the internal capsule in the brain. The diffusion motion appears relatively free in the head–foot direction along the long axis of the white matter tracts, but appears restricted in the anteroposterior and right–left directions across the neuronal fibers. In the assessment of tumors, diffusion anisotropy can help to identify tumor invasion of adjacent structures [12]. However,
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Diffusion in tumors is usually isotropic because malignant cells typically grow in a disorganized fashion.

Quantitative Analysis of DWI

By performing DWI using different $b$ values, quantitative analysis is possible. This analysis is usually performed at a push of a button on the scanner or workstation that results in the calculation of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) (Appendix 1). One simplified method of visualizing this process is by considering the signal attenuation of a tissue with increasing $b$ values. By plotting the logarithm of the relative signal intensity of the tissue on the $y$-axis against the $b$ values on the $x$-axis, a line can be fitted through the plots (exponential function). The slope of the line describes the ADC (Fig. 5). Not surprisingly, the fit can be improved by using more $b$ values to reduce the error in ADC calculation.

The ADC is independent of magnetic field strength and can overcome the effects of T2 shine-through, thus allowing more meaningful comparison of results. The ADC is calculated for each pixel of the image and is displayed as a parametric map. By drawing regions of interests on these maps, the ADCs of different tissues can be derived.

Areas of restricted diffusion in highly cellular areas show low ADC values compared with less cellular areas that return higher...
**Fig. 6**—Diffusion-weighted imaging in liver. Graph shows signal attenuation of normal liver with increasing b values (thin dashed line). Note there is initial rapid attenuation of signal intensity with small increase in b value from zero. This is due to nulling of signal contribution from capillary perfusion. Slope of line (thick dashed line) fitted through all b values describes apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). However, slope of line (thick solid line) fitted through only higher b values (e.g., 150–500 mm²/s) can be used to describe perfusion-insensitive ADC. Error bars show 95% CI of pixel values.

**Fig. 7**—Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) performed during free-breathing of 65-year-old man with rectal cancer. A–D, Thin-partition DWI can be achieved using free-breathing technique. In this example, axial image with b value of 750 s/mm² (B) is displayed using inverted gray scale; image shows areas of restricted diffusion corresponding to area of rectal tumor (arrows) and 4-mm mesorectal lymph node (circles). Thinner slice partition allows multiplanar reformats for anatomic localization. Addition perirectal nodes (arrowheads, D) are also visible on sagittal reformatted image (D). Note corresponding features on T2-weighted MRI (A).

ADC values. At this point, it is important to mention that although areas of restricted diffusion will appear to be higher in signal intensity on the directional or index DW images, these areas will appear as low-signal-intensity areas (opposite to DW images) on the ADC map (Fig. 5).

However, it is simplistic to assume that the attenuation of the signal intensity with increasing b values occurs linearly in tissues. In the liver, the signal intensity can be seen initially to attenuate rapidly with low b values, followed by a more gradual signal reduction (Fig. 6). The initial reduction in signal intensity is believed to be due to vascular capillary perfusion, where the signal from fast-moving water molecules is rapidly attenuated by low diffusion weighting [4]. Thus, diffusion-weighted data acquired over a range of b values that includes low b values (< 50 s/mm²) are sensitive to signal attenuation from perfusion.

From the previous discussion, it stands to reason that deriving a flow-sensitive ADC value and a flow-insensitive ADC value is possible [4]. An exponential function fitted across all the b values describes the conventional ADC (flow sensitive). However, an exponential function fitted through only the high b values (e.g., b = 150–500 s/mm²) can be used to describe a flow-insensitive ADC. The flow-insensitive ADC may potentially provide a more accurate estimate of the cellularity of the tumor microenvironment by minimizing any vascular contributions (Fig. 6). As a further refinement, a biexponential function may be used to calculate conventional ADC from DWI that includes low b values [4].

In the brain, the presence of highly organized anatomic structures allows a particular quantitative diffusion technique, diffusion tensor imaging, to be used to advantage. Diffusion tensor imaging yields information about both the rate and the direction of water diffusion by sampling water motion in at least six directions. The potential application of diffusion tensor imaging in organs with discernible structural organization, such as the prostate and kidneys, is currently being evaluated [13–15].

**Clinical DWI in the Body: Technical Issues**

A range of imaging sequences and techniques are now available for performing DWI studies in the body. The principles of these imaging sequences will not be discussed in this re-
view, and the reader may want to refer elsewhere [16]. The range of imaging techniques includes conventional spin-echo and stimulated echo, fast spin-echo, gradient-echo (e.g., steady-state free precession), EPI, and line scan diffusion imaging [16]. Each of these techniques has its advantages and limitations [16].

In the implementation of DWI in the body, two main strategies can be pursued: breath-hold imaging and non-breath-hold imaging. Breath-hold imaging allows a target volume (e.g., liver, kidney, and elsewhere in the abdomen) to be rapidly assessed. The images retain good anatomic detail and are usually not degraded by respiratory motion or volume averaging. Small lesions may be better perceived and the quantification of ADC is theoretically more accurate than with a non-breath-hold technique. One example of such a technique is breath-hold single-shot spin-echo EPI combined with parallel imaging (e.g., sensitivity encoding) and fat suppression [17–22]. The image acquisition time at each breath-hold is 20–30 seconds, and imaging is typically completed in a few breath-holds. The disadvantages of breath-hold imaging include a limited number of b-value images that can be acquired over the duration of a breath-hold, poorer signal-to-noise ratio compared with multiple averaging methods, and greater sensitivity to pulsatile and susceptibility artifacts.

Non-breath-hold spin-echo EPI combined with fat suppression is a versatile technique that can be used as a general purpose DWI sequence in the body and for whole-body imaging [23]. Multiple slice excitation and signal averaging over a longer duration improve the signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratios [23]. Thin partitions can be achieved (4–5 mm), thus improving spatial resolution and enabling multiplanar image reformats (Fig. 7). Furthermore, the longer acquisition time with non-breath-hold imaging provides flexibility in the use of multiple (> 5) or of high b values; for example, a b value of 1,000 s/mm² is optimum for optimum background suppression in whole-body imaging. However, the image acquisition time using this technique is longer compared with breath-hold imaging, typically 3–6 minutes depending on the coverage required and the number of b values used, and evaluation of tumor heterogeneity may be compromised by the degree of motion and volume averaging. Table 1 provides illustrative examples of breath-hold and non-breath-hold imaging sequences that may be applied for tumor evaluation in the body.

Despite the numerous advances in hardware and software, meticulous technique is still needed to minimize bulk motion artifacts that can significantly degrade image quality. When performing DWI in the body, the acquisition time should be kept as short as possible. Depending on the tissue being investigated, the TR should be long enough to minimize T1 saturation effects. However, it should be borne in mind that DWI sequences applied to imaging in the body may have inherent trade-offs because of the need for short acquisition times, and radiologists should be acquainted with these when prescribing their use and when interpreting images.

### Applications of DWI in the Body for Tumor Assessment

DWI yields qualitative and quantitative information that provides unique insight into tumor characteristics, and there is growing evidence for its use in the assessment of the patient with cancer.

#### Tumor Detection

Tumors are frequently more cellular than the tissue from which they originate and thus appear to be of relatively high signal intensity (restricted diffusion) at DWI.

DWI is being applied for the detection of liver metastases. In the liver, low b-value images (e.g., b = 50–150 s/mm²) that suppress the high-signal flow from the hepatic vessels, resulting in black blood images, have been found to be useful for lesion detection [24] (Fig. 8). Metastases appear as high-signal-intensity foci at DWI.

### TABLE 1: Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI) Sequences for Evaluating Tumors in the Abdomen and Pelvis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Breath-Hold DWI</th>
<th>Non-Breath-Hold DWI</th>
<th>Whole-Body Imaging Using Non-Breath-Hold DWI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field of view (cm)</td>
<td>340–400</td>
<td>340–400</td>
<td>380–400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix size</td>
<td>112 × 256</td>
<td>112 × 256</td>
<td>160 × 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR (ms)</td>
<td>&gt; 2,000</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>&gt; 3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE (ms)</td>
<td>56–68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat suppression</td>
<td>SPAIR</td>
<td>SPAIR or STIR</td>
<td>STIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo-planar imaging factor</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel imaging factor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of signals averaged</td>
<td>Single-shot</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section thickness (mm)</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directions of motion probing gradients</td>
<td>Phase, frequency, and slice</td>
<td>Phase, frequency, and slice</td>
<td>Phase, frequency, and slice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b factors (s/mm²)</td>
<td>0, 150, and 500</td>
<td>0, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 750</td>
<td>0 and 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others remarks</td>
<td>May be performed as non-breath-hold respiratory- or navigator-triggered sequence; is especially useful for imaging the liver</td>
<td>Versatile for qualitative and quantitative analyses</td>
<td>Can also be applied to imaging of the abdomen and pelvis; may be less useful for ADC quantification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note—SPAIR = spectral inversion recovery.

a Imaging protocols as implemented on a 1.5-T MR system.

b Contiguous.

c With 1-mm overlap.
Nasu et al. [25] found that DWI was more accurate than superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO)–enhanced MRI for the detection of liver metastases. In that study, the sensitivity and specificity of SPIO-enhanced MRI were 66% and 90%, respectively. By comparison, DWI was found to have a higher sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 94%. In another study, DWI was found to have a similarly high sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 94% for the detection of colorectal hepatic metastases [26].

Some of the challenges encountered in DWI of the liver are cardiac motion and susceptibility artifacts that can obscure or diminish visualization of the left lobe (Fig. 7). The susceptibility effects may result from air in the adjacent stomach or colon. Artifacts resulting from cardiac motion can be reduced by triggered acquisition by ECG or a peripheral pulse unit, thus improving image quality and signal-to-noise ratio in the left lobe of the liver [27, 28]. Images may also be acquired with the aid of respiratory triggering to minimize inadvertent breathing motion. However, these techniques increase the image acquisition time, which can render the examination more susceptible to bulk motion.

**Tumor Characterization**

Tumors differ in theircellularity, and this difference may reflect their histologic composition and biologic aggressiveness. The use of DWI for tumor characterization was first shown in brain tumors. The interested reader might like to refer to a more in-depth account of the use of DWI in the evaluation of intracranial tumors [29], which is beyond the scope of this article.

To characterize lesions in the liver using DWI, b values ranging between 0 and 500 s/mm² are appropriate [25, 30]. Qualitative visual assessment can help to distinguish cystic from solid lesions (Fig. 9). However, it is often difficult to distinguish different types of solid lesions from one another in the liver by visual assessment alone. For example, a hemangioma will exhibit restricted diffusion and can mimic the appearance of a metastasis at DWI (Fig. 9).

Using quantitative evaluation, investigators have found that benign liver lesions, such as cysts and hemangiomas, have higher mean ADC values (e.g., $2.45 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s) than malignant lesions, such as metastases and hepatocellular carcinoma (e.g., $1.08 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s) [31, 32]. The ADC has also been used to distinguish abscesses, which have low ADC values, from cystic and necrotic metastases, which have higher ADC values [33]. However, although the ADC values differ in summary statistics between benign and malignant lesions, using an individual ADC value to characterize lesions prospectively can be difficult. This difficulty is due to the considerable overlap in the ADC values of benign and malignant abnormalities. The wide range of ADCs in tumors may be explained by biologic variations of tumors, which become even more obvious across studies. For example, although some researchers have reported that metastases have lower ADC values than liver [31], others have found the ADCs of metastases to be higher than that of liver [34, 35]. Another possible reason for the wide range of ADC values may be errors in the measurements and image analysis. Hence, for the time being, DWI of the liver for lesion characterization should be combined with all available imaging to make the optimal assessment.

In the abdomen, DWI has also been applied to characterize focal renal lesions [18,
Because of the organization of the renal tubules, water diffusion in the normal kidney is anisotropic [13, 37]. DWI in the kidney can readily distinguish between cystic and solid renal lesions [18]. However, it is not yet possible to confidently distinguish malignant from benign renal neoplasms on the basis of qualitative assessment or ADC measurements [18]. Elsewhere, the ADCs of malignant breast lesions have been found to be lower than the ADCs associated with benign diseases [6, 38, 39], and DWI was able to distinguish cystic soft-tissue sarcomas from solid types [40]. More recently, DWI has also been found to be useful in detecting colorectal carcinoma [41] and showing cystic lesions of the pancreas [42] and ovaries [43].

**Distinguishing Tumors from Nontumors**

In prostate cancer, differentiating tumor from other causes of a low-signal-intensity lesion in the prostate gland is difficult on conventional T2-weighted MRI. Recently, DWI has shown potential for tumor identification [44, 45]. The normal central gland of the prostate has a lower ADC than the peripheral zone [44, 45]. Prostate cancers, which appear as low-signal-intensity foci on ADC maps, typically show lower ADC values than the peripheral zone and the transitional zone and central gland [44, 45]. However, there is significant overlap in the ADC values of prostate cancer and benign prostate changes [44]. Interestingly, investigators have recently observed that low ADC in the central gland and transitional zone accompanied by the loss of glandular anisotropy is more suggestive of tumor than glandular hyperplasia [46].

In the spine, the ability to distinguish a malignant from a nonmalignant cause of vertebral collapse remains challenging. Malignant vertebral infiltration and fracture frequently appear as high-signal-intensity areas on DWI compared with nonmalignant causes [22, 47–51]. A sensitivity of 42–100% and specificity of 92–94% have been reported using visual qualitative assessment [22, 47, 48], although one study found DWI to be unhelpful [52]. By quantitative analysis, malignant vertebral body infiltration and vertebral body fracture return lower ADC values than benign causes [48, 49, 53–56]. A clear separation of ADC measurements between malignant and be-

---

**Fig. 9**—Lesion characterization in 48-year-old man with liver cancer.  
A–C, Diffusion-weighted images show cyst (arrow, A) and metastasis (asterisk, A) in right lobe of liver. Signal from cyst is attenuated with increasing b value, whereas cellular tumor maintains high signal intensity.  
D–F, Other solid lesions can mimic appearance of metastasis. Hemangioma (circle, D) shows restricted diffusion on image obtained with b value of 500 s/mm². However, note typical high T2 signal intensity of lesion. Hence, it is useful to interpret diffusion-weighted imaging sequences with other imaging sequences.
Fig. 10—Schematic diagram shows variation in tumor apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) with treatment. Soon after initiation of chemotherapy or radiation therapy, cell swelling occurs, which can lead to decrease in tumor ADC. This is followed by cell necrosis and lysis, resulting in rise in ADC. Treatment can also induce tumor apoptosis, resulting in cell shrinkage and increased ADC. These apoptotic tumor cells may also undergo secondary lysis (dotted arrow). After completion of treatment, there is process of reequilibrium with resorption of extracellular fluid, leading to decrease in ADC. Tumor regrowth (black curved arrow) can also result in decreased ADC. (Schematic adapted from Moffat et al. [59])

Monitoring Treatment Response

There is increasing interest in the application of DWI for detecting tumor response. Effective anticancer treatment results in tumor lysis, loss of cell membrane integrity, increased extracellular space, and, therefore, an increase in water diffusion [59, 60]. The proposed mechanisms in the variation of tumor ADC after the initiation of treatment are summarized in Figure 10 [59].

However, this scheme does not consider the contribution of intravascular perfusion to the diffusion measurement, which may be substantial in a tumor [61]. Hence, therapies that are targeted against tumor vasculature may also result in a reduction in the ADC, especially when the DW images are acquired using low b values, which are sensitive to vascular perfusion effects [62].

The results of animal studies have confirmed that after the initiation of chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or novel therapy, an increase in the ADC value may be observed in those responding to treatment [62]. Furthermore, treatment effects can be observed within the first 24 hours after initiating treatment [5, 62] due to cell swelling, which results in a transient decrease in the ADC [5, 62].

In human studies of vertebral metastases [50] and brain tumors [63], investigators have reported that treatment response can be observed as a reduction in high signal intensity at DWI. However, such visual appraisal may be confounded by T2 shine-through effects. Using ADC measurements, researchers who have studied hepatocellular carcinoma [64], cerebral gliomas [65], and soft-tissue sarcoma [66] have found that individuals who respond to treatment show a significant rise in the ADC values after therapy. In a recent study of colorectal hepatic metastases, an increase in ADC was observed in patients with at least a partial response to treatment [67]; however, an ADC increase was not observed in the nonresponders [67].

Predicting Treatment Response

One of the most intriguing findings associated with the use of DWI in cancer patients has been that ADC measurements appear to be able to predict the response of tumor to chemotherapy and radiation treatment. Table 2 summarizes the findings of selected clinical DWI studies with results that appear to document this phenomenon.

Studies in rectal carcinoma [68, 69], cerebral gliomas [59, 63, 70], and colorectal hepatic metastases [67] have shown that cellular tumor with low baseline pretreatment ADC values respond better to chemotherapy or radiation treatment than tumors that exhibit high pretreatment ADC values. One possible explanation is that tumors with high pretreatment ADC values are likely to be more necrotic than those with low values. Necrotic tumors frequently are hypoxic, acidic, and poorly perfused, leading to diminished sensitivity to chemotherapy and to radiation therapy.

Studies in cerebral gliomas [59, 63, 71] and breast carcinoma [72] have also shown that an early increase in the ADC after commencing treatment was predictive of better treatment outcome. In two of the studies [71, 72], researchers found that an increase in the ADC within 1 week of initiating treatment was predictive of at least a partial response, with response being defined by tumor size reduction at the end of therapy. The increase in the ADC preceded any reduction in tumor size. The use of ADC to evaluate and predict response has also been assessed in a number of animal studies [62, 73–79] (Table 3).

Many of the clinical studies evaluating DWI for assessing treatment response have been
Whole-Body Imaging

Whole-body DWI is a recently developed application of DWI that, as previously described, is performed using a STIR EPI diffusion-weighted technique with a high b value of 1,000 s/mm² for background suppression. By performing imaging at multiple stations in the body, a composite image of the whole body can be constructed. The images are processed using maximum intensity projection and are usually displayed using a reversed black-and-white gray scale. Signals from normal tissue such as blood vessels, fat, muscle, and bowel are suppressed. However, other normal structures such as the spleen, prostate, testes, ovaries, endometrium, and spinal cord remain visible [23]. Areas showing restricted diffusion—for example, highly cellular lymph nodes—are strikingly depicted (Fig. 11). Not surprisingly, the technique has been applied to evaluate lymphadenopathy in patients with lymphoma and other cancers. Exquisite images displaying small foci of tumors within the abdomen or peritoneum have also been presented in the literature using this technique [23].

The true utility of whole-body imaging requires further validation. One potential application of DWI is the detection of tumors. This application would be analogous to using whole-body STIR technique for tumor detection. However, because DWI can detect abnormalities on the basis of tissue cellularity, it is unclear whether abnormalities depicted using this technique are necessarily malignant. There is currently a great deal of interest in the development of whole-body DWI with a background-suppression technique. However, more research is needed to establish the sensitivity and specificity of the technique to detect nodal malignancy. Another challenge that must be overcome for DWI to be used for tumor detection is accurate quantification of the ADC.
Current Challenges and Future Developments

One of the greatest challenges to widespread adoption of DWI in the body for tumor assessment is the lack of standardization. The techniques applied to acquire DW images, including the choice of b values, vary considerably. Consequently, considerable differences in the ADC values of similar diseases have been reported using different techniques. Clearly, future standardization of protocols (e.g., type of sequence, number of motion-probing gradient directions, b values, and TRs and TEs) for both image acquisition and data analysis across imaging platforms is important.

Current software tools that are available for quantitative analysis on most commercial platforms are fairly basic and do not allow more complex processing. DW images are inherently noisy, and the ability to perform noise filtration may be helpful. Image registration can also help to reduce errors in ADC calculations and further improve the quality of the ADC data. In addition, other metrics, such as fractional anisotropy and perfusion fraction, should be investigated in imaging the patient with cancer. The development and availability of software that allows more sophisticated data analysis would be welcomed.

The tumor microenvironment is both spatially and temporally heterogeneous. By drawing a region of interest around a tumor, the summary statistical value, such as the mean or median value, does not adequately reflect lesion heterogeneity. Clearly, more sophisticated methods of describing the data should be presented, such as the use of histogram analysis or of percentage change in value for 1 pixel [59] between studies.

Despite almost two decades of experience in the use of DWI, little is known about the reproducibility of DWI measurements. Reproducibility measurements are necessary to determine the limits of error in obtaining quantitative ADC measurements to better understand the magnitude of change that can be confidently detected. Reproducibility is particularly important if DWI measurements are to be routinely used for monitoring therapeutic effects in the future.

For clinical drug trials, it is important to understand how the ADC value of a tumor varies temporally with a particular drug treatment. As a tumor responds to treatment, the ADC is likely to rise, but this may undergo reequilibration after a period of time, leading to a decrease in the ADC. Hence, optimal timing of the measurement is important to maximize the chance of detecting a significant drug effect.

More work is also needed to understand the pathologic changes associated with features observed on DWI. Radiologic–pathologic comparisons are vital in providing robust histologic validation for the observations on DWI.

Although the hardware and software to perform DWI are widely available, the technique has not been routinely applied in clinical practice, especially at extracranial sites. This may in part be due to a lack of recognition by radiologists of DWI as a radiologic tool that provides unique information that can help in tumor evaluation. There is also a lack of instruction available for radiologists who may be interested in adopting the technique for their practice. The availability of training and teaching related to DWI at radiology meetings would help to widen the understanding of the subject and further stimulate interest and research in applications of DWI. Active engagement by radiologists in applying DWI would facilitate its adoption to clinical practice.

Conclusions

DWI is a powerful imaging tool that provides unique information related to tumor cellularity and the integrity of the cellular membrane. The technique can be applied widely for tumor detection and tumor characteriza-
tion and for the monitoring of response to treatment. In addition, DWI appears to have the ability to predict treatment response to chemotherapy and radiation treatment. Whole-body DWI is a recent development that shows substantial promise for tumor detection, but requires further evaluation. There are, however, major challenges to the widespread adoption of DWI, among which are standardization of data acquisition and analysis. However, because DWI is quick to perform, DWI can be incorporated into standard clinical protocols to be widely evaluated.
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**Appendix appears on next page**
APPENDIX 1: Calculation of Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC)

The ADC is calculated mathematically by fitting a decaying exponential function of the form $S_i = S_0 \times e^{-b \times D}$ to the signal intensity on the $y$-axis against the $b$ values on the $x$-axis, where $S_i$ is the signal intensity of a given pixel; $S_0$ is the signal intensity of a given pixel without diffusion sensitization; $e$ is the mathematical constant, the base of the natural logarithm; $b$ is the attenuation coefficient (mm$^2$/s); and $D$ is the diffusion rate constant for the given pixel (s/mm$^2$).